World Journal of Hepatology

World J Hepatol 2018 January 27; 10(1): 1-171





Contents

Monthly Volume 10 Number 1 January 27, 2018

MINIREVIEWS

1 Role of inflammatory response in liver diseases: Therapeutic strategies

Del Campo JA, Gallego P, Grande L

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- Preserved liver regeneration capacity after partial hepatectomy in rats with non-alcoholic steatohepatitis Haldrup D, Heebøll S, Thomsen KL, Andersen KJ, Meier M, Mortensen FV, Nyengaard JR, Hamilton-Dutoit S, Grønbæk H
- Bioengineered humanized livers as better three-dimensional drug testing model system Vishwakarma SK, Bardia A, Lakkireddy C, Nagarapu R, Habeeb MA, Khan AA

Retrospective Cohort Study

- Risk factors for hepatic steatosis in adults with cystic fibrosis: Similarities to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease Ayoub F, Trillo-Alvarez C, Morelli G, Lascano J
- Fatty liver disease, an emerging etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Argentina

 Piñero F, Pages J, Marciano S, Fernández N, Silva J, Anders M, Zerega A, Ridruejo E, Ameigeiras B, D'Amico C, Gaite L,

 Bermúdez C, Cobos M, Rosales C, Romero G, McCormack L, Reggiardo V, Colombato L, Gadano A, Silva M
- Current state and clinical outcome in Turkish patients with hepatocellular carcinoma

 Ekinci O, Baran B, Ormeci AC, Soyer OM, Gokturk S, Evirgen S, Poyanli A, Gulluoglu M, Akyuz F, Karaca C, Demir K,

 Besisik F, Kaymakoglu S

Retrospective Study

- Predicting early outcomes of liver transplantation in young children: The EARLY study Alobaidi R, Anton N, Cave D, Moez EK, Joffe AR
- Collagen proportionate area correlates to hepatic venous pressure gradient in non-abstinent cirrhotic patients with alcoholic liver disease
 - Restellini S, Goossens N, Clément S, Lanthier N, Negro F, Rubbia-Brandt L, Spahr L
- Ratio of mean platelet volume to platelet count is a potential surrogate marker predicting liver cirrhosis *Iida H, Kaibori M, Matsui K, Ishizaki M, Kon M*
- 88 Efficacy of direct-acting antiviral treatment for chronic hepatitis C: A single hospital experience Kaneko R, Nakazaki N, Omori R, Yano Y, Ogawa M, Sato Y



Contents

95 Efficacy of intra-arterial contrast-enhanced ultrasonography during transarterial chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads for hepatocellular carcinoma

Shiozawa K, Watanabe M, Ikehara T, Yamamoto S, Matsui T, Saigusa Y, Igarashi Y, Maetani I

Clinical Practice Study

105 Proton nuclear magnetic resonance-based metabonomic models for non-invasive diagnosis of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C: Optimizing the classification of intermediate fibrosis

Batista AD, Barros CJP, Costa TBBC, Godoy MMG, Silva RD, Santos JC, de Melo Lira MM, Jucá NT, Lopes EPA, Silva RO

Observational Study

High burden of hepatocellular carcinoma and viral hepatitis in Southern and Central Vietnam: Experience of a large tertiary referral center, 2010 to 2016

Nguyen-Dinh SH, Do A, Pham TND, Dao DY, Nguy TN, Chen Jr MS

Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms and bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis and ascites

Alvarado-Tapias E, Guarner-Argente C, Oblitas E, Sánchez E, Vidal S, Román E, Concepción M, Poca M, Gely C, Pavel O,

Nieto JC, Juárez C, Guarner C, Soriano G

Prospective Study

134 Effect of transplant center volume on post-transplant survival in patients listed for simultaneous liver and kidney transplantation

Modi RM, Tumin D, Kruger AJ, Beal EW, Hayes Jr D, Hanje J, Michaels AJ, Washburn K, Conteh LF, Black SM, Mumtaz K

META-ANALYSIS

- 142 Vitamin D levels do not predict the stage of hepatic fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A PRISMA compliant systematic review and meta-analysis of pooled data Saberi B, Dadabhai AS, Nanavati J, Wang L, Shinohara RT, Mullin GE
- Epigenetic basis of hepatocellular carcinoma: A network-based integrative meta-analysis Bhat V, Srinathan S, Pasini E, Angeli M, Chen E, Baciu C, Bhat M

CASE REPORT

Contrast uptake in primary hepatic angiosarcoma on gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in the hepatobiliary phase

Hayashi M, Kawana S, Sekino H, Abe K, Matsuoka N, Kashiwagi M, Okai K, Kanno Y, Takahashi A, Ito H, Hashimoto Y,

Ohira H



Contents

World Journal of Hepatology Volume 10 Number 1 January 27, 2018

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Hepatology*, Konstantinos Tziomalos, MD, MSc, PhD, Assistant Professor, First Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54636, Greece

AIM AND SCOPE

World Journal of Hepatology (World J Hepatol, WJH, online ISSN 1948-5182, DOI: 10.4254), is a peer-reviewed open access academic journal that aims to guide clinical practice and improve diagnostic and therapeutic skills of clinicians.

WJH covers topics concerning liver biology/pathology, cirrhosis and its complications, liver fibrosis, liver failure, portal hypertension, hepatitis B and C and inflammatory disorders, steatohepatitis and metabolic liver disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, biliary tract disease, autoimmune disease, cholestatic and biliary disease, transplantation, genetics, epidemiology, microbiology, molecular and cell biology, nutrition, geriatric and pediatric hepatology, diagnosis and screening, endoscopy, imaging, and advanced technology. Priority publication will be given to articles concerning diagnosis and treatment of hepatology diseases. The following aspects are covered: Clinical diagnosis, laboratory diagnosis, differential diagnosis, imaging tests, pathological diagnosis, molecular biological diagnosis, immunological diagnosis, genetic diagnosis, functional diagnostics, and physical diagnosis; and comprehensive therapy, drug therapy, surgical therapy, interventional treatment, minimally invasive therapy, and robot-assisted therapy.

We encourage authors to submit their manuscripts to WJH. We will give priority to manuscripts that are supported by major national and international foundations and those that are of great basic and clinical significance.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

World Journal of Hepatology is now indexed in Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), PubMed, PubMed Central, and Scopus.

EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Assistant Editor: Xiang Li Responsible Electronic Editor: Rui-Fang Li Proofing Editor-in-Chief: Lian-Sheng Ma Responsible Science Editor: Li-Jun Cui Proofing Editorial Office Director: Xiu-Xia Song

NAME OF TOURNAL

World Journal of Hepatology

ISSN

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

LAUNCH DATE October 31, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Wan-Long Chuang, MD, PhD, Doctor, Professor, Hepatobiliary Division, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

All editorial board members resources online at http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Xiu-Xia Song, Director

World Journal of Hepatology
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk
http://www.wjgnet.com

PUBLISHER

Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
Fax: +1-925-2238243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: http://www.fpublishing.com/helpdesk
http://www.wignet.com

PUBLICATION DATE

January 27, 2018

COPYRIGHT

© 2018 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles published by this Open Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license.

SPECIAL STATEMENT

All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opinions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated.

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

http://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

ONLINE SUBMISSION

http://www.f6publishing.com



Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2018 January 27; 10(1): 41-50

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v10.i1.41

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Fatty liver disease, an emerging etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Argentina

Federico Piñero, Josefina Pages, Sebastián Marciano, Nora Fernández, Jorge Silva, Margarita Anders, Alina Zerega, Ezequiel Ridruejo, Beatriz Ameigeiras, Claudia D'Amico, Luis Gaite, Carla Bermúdez, Manuel Cobos, Carlos Rosales, Gustavo Romero, Lucas McCormack, Virginia Reggiardo, Luis Colombato, Adrián Gadano, Marcelo Silva

Federico Piñero, Josefina Pages, Marcelo Silva, Hepatology and Liver Transplantation Unit, Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires 1629, Argentina

Sebastián Marciano, Carla Bermúdez, Adrián Gadano, Hepatology Section, Liver Transplant Program, Department of Academic Research, Hospital Italiano from Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires 1424, Argentina

Federico Piñero, Sanatorio Trinidad San Isidro, Buenos Aires 1642, Argentina

Federico Piñero, Clínica Privada San Fernando, Buenos Aires 2013, Argentina

Nora Fernández, Luis Colombato, Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Hospital Británico, Buenos Aires 1280, Argentina

Jorge Silva, Carlos Rosales, Hepatobiliary Surgery, Hospital G Rawson, San Juan 5400, Argentina

Margarita Anders, Manuel Cobos, Lucas McCormack, Department of Hepatology and Liver Transplant Program, Hospital Aleman, Buenos Aires 1118, Argentina

Alina Zerega, Department of Hepatology and Liver Transplantation, Sanatorio Allende, Córdoba 5016, Argentina

Ezequiel Ridruejo, Hepatology Section, Department of Medicine, Centro de Educación Médica e Investigaciones Clínicas Norberto Quirno "CEMIC", Buenos Aires 1425, Argentina

Beatriz Ameigeiras, Department of Hepatology, Hospital Ramos Mejía, Buenos Aires 1221, Argentina

Claudia D'Amico, Department of Hepatology, Centro Especialidades Medicas Ambulatorias (CEMA), Mar del Plata 7600, Argentina

Luis Gaite, Department of Hepatology and Liver Transplantation, Clínica de Nefrología de Santa Fe, Santa Fe 3000, Argentina

Gustavo Romero, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Hospital C Bonorino Udaondo, Buenos Aires 1264, Argentina

Virginia Reggiardo, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Hospital Centenario, Santa Fe 2002, Argentina

ORCID number: Federico Piñero (0000-0002-9528-2279); Josefina Pages (0000-0002-1167-7047); Sebastián Marciano (0000-0002-7983-1450); Nora Fernández (0000-0002-5026-8887); Jorge Silva (0000-0002-0214-9744); Margarita Anders (0000-0002-2238-5322); Alina Zerega (0000-0002-3678-5204); Ezequiel Ridruejo (0000-0002-3321-0683); Beatriz Ameigeiras (0000-0001-8754-2053); Claudia D'Amico (0000-0002-3246-6580); Luis Gaite (0000-0002-5180-1954); Carla Bermúdez (0000-0003-0082-9006); Manuel Cobos (0000-0002-0332-0961); Carlos Rosales (0000-0001-6299-5881); Gustavo Romero (0000-0002-4100-2067); Lucas McCormack (0000-0002-9483-3251); Virginia Reggiardo (0000-0003-3990-5790); Luis Colombato (0000-0001-7859-6842); Adrián Gadano (0000-0002-3590-2472); Marcelo Silva (0000-0002-2287-7351).

Author contributions: Piñero F, Pages J, Ridruejo E, Colombato L and Silva M, participated in concept and design, statistical analysis and writing of article; Piñero F participated in statistical analysis; all other authors participated in data recording and critical review of the manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by the Austral University, School of Medicine and the Bioethics Institutional Committee of the Austral University Hospital (CIE approval study protocol number 14-039).

Informed consent statement: All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to the study enrollment. We submit the informed consent (IC) and the Spanish version approved by the Austral University, School of Medicine and the Bioethics Institutional Committee of the Austral University Hospital (CIE approval study protocol number



January 27, 2018 | Volume 10 | Issue 1 |

14-039).

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited Manuscript

Correspondence to: Dr. Federico Piñero, MD, Academic Research, Research Scientist, Staff Physician, Hepatology and Liver Transplant Unit, Hospital Universitario Austral, Av. Presidente Perón 1500, Buenos Aires 1264,

Argentina. fpinerof@cas.austral.edu.ar Telephone: +54-230-4482000

Fax: +54-230-4482236

Received: October 31, 2017

Peer-review started: November 1, 2017 First decision: December 1, 2017 Revised: December 7, 2017 Accepted: January 15, 2018 Article in press: January 15, 2018

Published online: January 27, 2018

Abstract

AIM

To investigate any changing trends in the etiologies of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in Argentina during the last years.

METHODS

A longitudinal cohort study was conducted by 14 regional hospitals starting in 2009 through 2016. All adult patients with newly diagnosed HCC either with pathology or imaging criteria were included. Patients were classified as presenting non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) either by histology or clinically, provided that all other etiologies of liver disease were ruled out, fatty liver was present on abdominal ultrasound and alcohol consumption was excluded. Complete follow-up was assessed in all included subjects since the date of HCC diagnosis until death or last medical visit.

RESULTS

A total of 708 consecutive adults with HCC were included. Six out of 14 hospitals were liver transplant centers (n = 484). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was 27.7%. Overall, HCV was the main cause of liver disease related with HCC (37%) including cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients, followed by alcoholic liver disease 20.8%, NAFLD 11.4%, cryptogenic 9.6%, HBV

5.4% infection, cholestatic disease and autoimmune hepatitis 2.2%, and other causes 9.9%. A 6-fold increase in the percentage corresponding to NAFLD-HCC was detected when the starting year, *i.e.*, 2009 was compared to the last one, *i.e.*, 2015 (4.3% ν s 25.6%; P < 0.0001). Accordingly, a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus was present in NAFLD-HCC group 61.7% when compared to other than NAFLD-HCC 23.3% (P < 0.0001). Lower median AFP values at HCC diagnosis were observed between NAFLD-HCC and non-NAFLD groups (6.6 ng/mL ν s 26 ng/mL; P = 0.02). Neither NAFLD nor other HCC etiologies were associated with higher mortality.

CONCLUSION

The growing incidence of NAFLD-HCC documented in the United States and Europe is also observed in Argentina, a confirmation with important Public Health implications.

Key words: Hepatocellular carcinoma; Etiology; Fatty liver; South America

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Despite the increasing incidence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and NAFLD related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in developed countries, information related with the burden of NAFLD-HCC in developing countries as those in South America is lacking. In this multicenter cohort study from Argentina including patients with HCC, while HCV and alcoholic related cirrhosis were the most frequent causes of HCC between 2009 and 2016, NAFLD-HCC had a 6-fold increased during the same period. This changing scenario was observed without precluding any specific etiology of liver disease. NAFLD might become one of the first HCC related causes in the coming decades; an issue to be consider with effective prevention strategies.

Piñero F, Pages J, Marciano S, Fernández N, Silva J, Anders M, Zerega A, Ridruejo E, Ameigeiras B, D'Amico C, Gaite L, Bermúdez C, Cobos M, Rosales C, Romero G, McCormack L, Reggiardo V, Colombato L, Gadano A, Silva M. Fatty liver disease, an emerging etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Argentina. *World J Hepatol* 2018; 10(1): 41-50 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v10/i1/41.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v10.i1.41

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the second leading cause of cancer related death worldwide and the main cause of death among patients with cirrhosis^[1,2]. The incidence of HCC varies according to geographic location, closely linked to the prevalence of chronic hepatitis C (HCV) or B virus (HBV) infections as well as the prevalence of alcoholic liver disease.



With the advent of new direct antiviral drugs (DAAs) for HCV treatment, epidemiological changes have been reported in the natural history of liver disease^[3]. First, the improvement of decompensated cirrhotic patients, the consequence and the increasing rate of delisting from liver transplantation (LT) waitlist after eradication of HCV. On the other hand, a lower wait-listing ratio of patients with HCV decompensated cirrhosis has been observed^[3]. However, the proportion of patients listed for LT with HCC has been increased during the era of DAAs^[4].

This increasing incidence of HCC has been attributed in developed countries due to a stepwise increase in the incidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), recognized as an emergent cause of end stage liver disease and HCC^[4,5]. NAFLD is the expression of a pandemic disease, which is associated with the metabolic syndrome, obesity and type 2 diabetes. Indeed, it is estimated that during the next 20 years, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), the progressive form of NAFLD, will constitute the most frequent cause of cirrhosis and HCC in developed countries^[6].

However, HCC epidemiological reports from developing areas, including South America, have been heterogeneous^[7-14]. Furthermore, no studies have tackled the issue of changing trends in etiologies of HCC over time. Our present aim was to evaluate changes in etiology of HCC in Argentina during the last seven years, focusing on two aspects, the potential changes associated with DAA's era of HCV treatment, as well as changes associated with NAFLD-HCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This longitudinal observational cohort study was conducted between January 1 2009 and January 1 2016 in 14 regional hospitals from Argentina. Sites were instructed to enroll all eligible patients on a sequential basis and data to be recorded from medical charts into a web-based electronic system.

A cohort of consecutive adult patients (> 17 years of age) with newly diagnosed HCC was included. Criteria for inclusion required patients to have newly diagnosed HCC either by pathological criteria or imaging evaluation as recommended by international guidelines^[15,16].

Etiologies of HCC considering the primary diagnosis of liver disease included HCV (anti HCV positivity), HBV (hepatitis B surface antigen positivity), alcoholic liver disease (alcohol intake exceeding 30 g), NAFLD, cryptogenic cirrhosis (CC), cholestatic liver diseases (*i.e.*, primary biliary cholangitis, primary and secondary sclerosing cholangitis), autoimmune hepatitis and other causes including metabolic diseases or miscellaneous causes (*e.g.*, hereditary hemochromatosis, Wilson disease, toxic liver disease).

NAFLD diagnosis was achieved on histological ground or clinically according to international guidelines^[17]. Patients were classified as presenting clinical NAFLD provided that all other etiologies of liver disease were ruled

out, fatty liver was present on abdominal ultrasound (US) and alcohol consumption was excluded (30 g for men and 20 g women). Histological NAFLD was defined by an excessive hepatic fat accumulation associated with insulin resistance and the presence of > 5% of steatosis in liver biopsy. NAFLD included non-alcoholic fatty liver and NASH.

Baseline patient and tumor characteristics were recorded at HCC diagnosis including patients demographics, previous US surveillance, performance status (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group, ECOG grade 0-4)^[18], liver fibrosis stage (I-IV) assessed by liver biopsy elastography, other non-invasive measurements or by clinical data (presence of esophageal varices or ascites or splenomegaly > 120 mm diameter, or features related to portal hypertension), Child Pugh score and laboratory variables. Serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) levels at diagnosis were categorized in three cut-off values: \leq 100 ng/mL, 101-1000 ng/mL and > 1000 ng/mL^[19].

Specific major co-morbidities for each subject were also registered including: diabetes mellitus, severe chronic pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease and congestive heart disease, previous ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke, peripheral vascular disease, chronic kidney failure (glomerular filtration rate < 30 mL/min) and non-HCC cancer.

Tumor characteristics and treatments performed during follow-up were also registered. Computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance images (MRI) were included to assess tumor burden, which was classified according to Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging (BCLC criteria)^[16].

Study end-points

Our study focused on changing trends of HCC etiologies at different periods from 2009 to 2016. A stratified per-etiology analysis and per Liver Transplant (LT) and non-LT centers was performed. Complete patient follow-up was assessed in all included subjects from HCC diagnosis until death or last medical visit.

All procedures followed were in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines^[20]. This study was approved by the Austral University School of Medicine and by all 14 centers; complied with the ethical standards (institutional and national) and with Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Patient consent was obtained in all subjects included.

Statistical analysis

Institutional clinical research committee reviewed the statistical methods of this study. Categorical data were compared using Fisher's exact test (2-tailed) or χ^2 test. Continuous variables were compared with Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test according to their distribution, respectively. For survival analysis, Cox regression multivariate analysis estimating hazard ratios (HR) and 95%CI for baseline variables related with 5-year mortality was performed. Confounding



Table 1	Patients'	baseline	character	istics

Variable	P values		
Age, yr (± SD)	62 ± 10		
Male gender, n (%)	537 (75.9)		
Non-cirrhotic liver, n (%)	89 (12.6)		
Child Pugh A/B/C, n (%)	352 (49.7)/238 (33.6)/118 (16.7)		
Comorbidities, n (%)	299 (42.2)		
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	196 (27.7)		
Ascites, n (%)	253 (35.7)		
Mild	144 (20.3)		
Moderate-severe	109 (15.4)		
Encephalopathy, n (%)	147 (20.8)		
Grade I - II	137 (19.3)		
Grade III-IV	10 (1.4)		
Esophageal varices, n (%)	394 (56.7)		
ECOG 0-2/3-4, n (%)	637 (89.9)/71 (10.1)		
Median HCC number, (IQR)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)		
Largest HCC diameter, mm (IQR)	53 ± 37		
Within Milan, n (%)	334 (46.9)		
Bilobar involvement, n (%)	159 (22.1)		
Diffuse HCC pattern, n (%)	28 (3.9)		
Median AFP, ng/mL (IQR)	23.0 (5.0-337.0)		
\leq 100 ng/mL, n (%)	476 (66.3)		
101-1000 ng/mL, n (%)	128 (17.7)		
> 1000 ng/mL, n (%)	115 (16.0)		
Tumor vascular invasion, n (%)	74 (10.4)		
Extrahepatic disease, n (%)	48 (6.8)		

ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; AFP: alpha-fetoprotein.

effect was defined when more than 20% of change in the crude HR. Kaplan Meier survival curves were compared using the log-rank test (Mantel-Cox) and adjustment of each final model was evaluated with proportional hazards through graphic and statistical evaluation (Schoenfeld residual test). Calibration was assessed by comparison of observed and predicted curves and evaluation of the goodness of fit of the model by Harrell's c-statistic index. Collected data was analyzed using STATA 10.0.


RESULTS

A total of 708 consecutive adult patients with newly diagnosed HCC from 14 centers were included. Out of 14 hospitals, 6 were LT centers, which contributed with the follow-up of 484 patients (68.4% of the study cohort).

Baseline patients and tumor characteristics

Table 1 describes the baseline patients' characteristics. Non-cirrhotic patients accounted for 12.6% of the included cohort (n=89). Overall prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DBT) was 27.7% (Table 1).

Overall, HCV was the most frequent cause of underlying liver disease. Etiology of HCC in cirrhotic patients was as follows: 37% HCV infection (n=262), 20.8% alcoholic liver disease (n=147), 11.4% NAFLD (n=81), 9.6% cryptogenic cirrhosis/liver disease (CC, n=68), 5.4% HBV infection (n=38), 2.2% of cholestatic disease and autoimmune hepatitis (n=16), and 9.9% other causes (n=60) (Table 2). Most






Figure 1 Etiologies oh hepatocellular carcinoma in the overall cohort and hepatitis C virus genotypes. HCV was the main cause of liver disease related with hepatocellular carcinoma including cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients. Distribution of known HCV genotypes (G) showed that G1b was the most frequent (n = 88), followed by G1a (n = 47), G3 (n = 27), G2 (n = 8) and G4 (n = 2). HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

frequent HCV genotypes (G) were G1b (n = 88), followed by G1a (n = 47), G3 (n = 27), G2 (n = 8) and G4 (n = 2) (Figure 1).

Etiology of HCC among non-cirrhotic patients included cryptogenic liver disease in 52.8% (n=47), chronic HCV infection in 21.3% (n=19), NAFLD in 10.1% (n=9), chronic HBV infection in 9.0% (n=8), altered iron metabolism in 4.5% (n=4) and chronic alcohol consumption in 2 patients.

At HCC diagnosis, 4.2% (n = 30), 43.1% (n = 305), 21.3% (n = 151), 9.5% (n = 67) and 21.9% (n = 155) of the patients were within BCLC 0, A, B, C and D stages, respectively. Median serum AFP was 23.0 ng/mL (IQR 5.0; 337 ng/mL, Table 1).

Etiologies of HCC stratified by periods of time

Changes over time for each HCC etiology were analyzed in order to observe any epidemiological changes during the entire period. HCV related HCC was the most frequent etiology along the whole observation period. No significant changes were observed in the proportion of HCV-HCC (Table 2). The second most frequent cause of HCC was alcoholic liver disease, remaining stable during the observation period. On the other hand, a striking 6-fold increase in the proportion of HCC-NAFLD cases was observed since 2009 to 2016 (4.3%



Table 2 Underlying etiologies of liver disease per year (frequencies)

	HCV	HBV	Alcohol	NASH	CC	Cholestasis	Al	Other ¹	Total
2009	24 (34.3)	7 (10.0)	18 (25.7)	3 (4.3)	9 (12.9)	0	0	9 (12.9)	70
2010	51 (48.6)	5 (4.8)	16 (15.2)	5 (4.8)	12 (11.4)	3 (2.9)	1 (0.9)	12 (11.5)	105
2011	34 (35.6)	5 (5.3)	26 (27.4)	10 (10.5)	9 (9.5)	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)	7 (6.4)	95
2012	43 (38.0)	5 (4.4)	21 (18.6)	14 (12.4)	10 (8.8)	3 (2.6)	0	5 (8.0)	113
2013	43 (33.1)	9 (6.9)	24 (18.5)	14 (10.8)	17 (13.1)	2 (1.5)	1 (0.8)	13 (10.0)	130
2014	43 (36.7)	4 (3.4)	22 (18.8)	15 (12.8)	9 (7.7)	3 (2.6)	0	16 (13.7)	117
2015	24 (30.8)	3 (3.8)	20 (25.6)	20 (25.6)	2 (2.6)	1 (1.3)	0	4 (5.2)	78
Total (%)	262 (37.0)	38 (5.4)	147 (20.8)	81 (11.4)	68 (9.6)	13 (1.8)	3 (0.4)	60 (9.9)	708

¹Other causes of cirrhosis, Hemochromatosis. NASH: Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; AI: Autoimmune; CC: Cryptogenic cirrhosis; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

Table 3 Comparative analysis between non alcoholic fatty liver disease and other than non alcoholic fatty liver disease

Variable	NAFLD $n = 81 (11.4\%)$	Non-NAFLD $n = 627 (88.6\%)$	P value
Age, yr (± SD)	63 ± 8	62 ± 4	0.39
Gender, male, n (%)	68 (83.9)	469 (74.9)	0.06
Non-cirrhotic liver, n (%)	7 (8.6)	62 (9.9)	0.72
Child Pugh A/B/C, n (%)	41 (50.6)/32 (39.5)/8 (9.9)	311 (49.6)/206 (32.8)/110 (17.5)	0.15
Comorbidities, n (%)	60 (74.1)	239 (38.1)	< 0.0001
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	50 (61.7)	146 (23.3)	< 0.0001
Median AFP level, ng/mL	6.6 (4-380)	26 (5.3-332)	0.017
AFP > $1000 \text{ ng/mL}, n \text{ (%)}$	9 (12.0)	97 (16.1)	0.34
Ascites, n (%)			
Mild	17 (21.0)	127 (20.3)	0.7
Moderate-severe	10 (12.3)	99 (15.8)	0.7
Encephalopathy, n (%)			
Grade I - II	18 (22.2)	119 (19.0)	0.2
Grade III-IV	3 (3.7)	7 (1.1)	0.2
Esophageal varices, n (%)	50 (63.3)	344 (55.8)	0.5
ECOG 0-2, n (%)	73 (90.1)	564 (89.9)	0.96
Median HCC number, (IQR)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-2)	0.38
Largest HCC diameter, mm (IQR)	55 ± 37	52 ± 37	0.51
Within Milan, n (%)	38 (46.9)	295 (47.0)	0.98
Bilobar involvement, n (%)	16 (19.7)	142 (22.7)	0.82
Diffuse HCC pattern, n (%)	3 (3.7)	20 (3.2)	0.82

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; MELD: Model for end stage liver disease; AFLD: Alcoholic fatty liver disease; Non-NAFLD: Other than NAFLD (includes all other etiologies).

vs 25.6%; P < 0.0001). Moreover, NAFLD represented the second cause of HCC in 2015 together with alcoholic liver disease (Table 2).

We further considered patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis and DBT (n=22/68) as potentially NAFLD. This new group was merged with NAFLD accounting for 14.5% (n=103) of the entire cohort. Its incidence increased from 8.6% in 2009 to 16.2% in 2014 and 25.6% in 2016, respectively (P=0.014). Prevalence of DBT was similar between HCC cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients (23.2% vs 28.2%; P=0.38).

Comparative analysis between NAFLD and non-NAFLD HCC patients

A comparative analysis between NAFLD and non-NAFLD-HCC was performed. Mean age was similar in both groups. Only a small proportion of patients were non-cirrhotic (8.6% NAFLD vs 9.9% non-NAFLD; P=0.72). There were no significant differences regarding Child Pugh score, MELD score and presence of clinically

significant portal hypertension (Table 3).

Comorbidities were most frequently observed in the NAFLD group, particularly there was a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DBT) (61.7% vs non-NAFLD 23.3%; P < 0.0001). When a stratified analysis was performed comparing the prevalence of DBT, there were no changes observed ranging from 8.9% in 2009 to 17.3% in 2012 (P = 0.89). As previously shown, the increasing proportion of NAFLD in the overall cohort was not in parallel with an increasing prevalence of DBT.

Regarding HCC burden, there were no significant differences with previous surveillance (NAFLD 59.5% vs non-NAFLD 57.9%; P=0.81) and BCLC staging at HCC diagnosis. Median AFP was lower in the NAFLD-HCC when compared to non-NAFLD group (6.6 ng/mL vs 26 ng/mL; P=0.02) (Figure 2).

Etiology of HCC in non-liver transplant vs liver transplant centers

Among LT centers, the main etiology of HCC during 2009



Table 4 Baseline pre-treatment variables associated with 5-year mortality, univariate cox regression

Variable	5-yr mortality rate, (%)	Hazard ratio (95%CI)	P value
Age (yr)		1.02 (1.01; 1.04)	< 0.0001
Gender: male ($n = 537$)	42.7	1.08 (0.83; 1.42)	0.58
Female $(n = 170)$	42.3	, ,	
Comorbidity			
Yes $(n = 299)$	45.1	1.08 (0.86; 1.37)	0.49
No $(n = 409)$	40.6	` '	
Diabetes mellitus			
Yes $(n = 196)$	38.8	0.83 (0.63; 1.08)	0.17
No $(n = 512)$	44	` '	
NAFLD			
Yes (n = 81)	35.9	1.16 (0.81; 1.69)	0.41
No $(n = 627)$	56.9	, ,	
ECOG 0-2			
Yes $(n = 637)$	37.9	0.19 (0.14; 0.26)	0.0001
No $(n = 71)$	84.5	, ,	
BCLC 0-A			
Yes $(n = 335)$	26	0.29 (0.23; 0.38)	0.0001
No $(n = 373)$	57.4	` '	
Cirrhosis			
Yes $(n = 639)$	42.9		
No $(n = 69)$	39.1	0.86 (0.58; 1.28)	0.45
Child Pugh		` '	
A $(n = 352)$	34.5	-	
B $(n = 238)$	41.6	1.38 (1.06; 1.83)	0.019
C(n = 118)	68.6	3.23 (2.41; 4.34)	0.0001
Clinically significant portal hypertension			
Yes $(n = 484)$	40.2	1.22 (0.94; 1.57)	0.13
No $(n = 224)$	43.7	` '	
AFP > 1000 ng/mL			
Yes $(n = 106)$	64.1	3.09 (2.31; 4.15)	0.0001
No $(n = 569)$	39.5	` '	
Tumor vascular invasion			
Yes (n = 74)	77	4.74 (3.48; 6.44)	0.0001
No $(n = 634)$	38.5	,	
Extrahepatic tumor disease			
Yes $(n = 48)$	70.8	3.29 (2.25; 4.81)	0.0001
No $(n = 660)$	40.5	, ,	

Normal Values: Alpha-fetoprotein 0.6-4.4 ng/mL. AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; BCLC: Barcelona clinic liver cancer; ECOG: Eastern cooperative oncology group; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; LT: Liver transplantation; WL: Waiting list; NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

was HCV, remaining stable over the years, becoming the second cause of HCC in 2015. Furthermore, the main cause of HCC during 2015 was NAFLD, showing 6-fold increase from 2009 to 2014 and 2015 (5.8% vs 13.9% vs 36.9%, respectively; P < 0.0001). Alcoholic liver disease was the second overall cause of HCC, which was unchanged since 2009 among the different periods.

In non-transplant centers, HCV was the most frequent cause of HCC during all analyzed periods, followed by alcoholic liver disease. No significant changes in the proportion of patients with HCV or alcoholic liver disease were observed in this group. An increasing number of NAFLD-HCC cases were observed, which was lower than that observed in LT centers (LT centers 5.8% to 36.9%; P < 0.0001 vs non-LT centers 0% to 9%; P = NS).

Etiologies and impact on patient survival

Both uni and multivariate Cox regression analysis were performed as shown on Table 4 evaluating baseline patient and HCC characteristics associated with worse survival. Neither the presence of comorbidities (HR

1.08; CI 0.86; 1.37) nor DBT were related to mortality (HR 0.83; CI 0.63; 1.08). When considering different HCC etiologies, neither NAFLD nor viral etiologies presented higher mortality rates during the follow-up (Figure 3).

On the multivariate model, independent variables associated with death were age HR 1.03 (CI 1.01; 1.04), BCLC 0-A stages vs non 0-A stages HR 0.50 (CI 0.37; 0.68), Child Pugh B or C vs A HR 1.54 (CI 1.61; 2.05), HR 2.59 (CI 1.84; 3.66), respectively; AFP > 1000 ng/mL at HCC diagnosis HR 2.09 (CI: 1.52; 2.87) and HCC vascular invasion HR 2.83 (CI: 1.75; 3.53) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge this is the first multicenter cohort study from a South American country evaluating longitudinal changes in etiologies of HCC. This changing etiologic scenario documented in developed countries is replicated in developing ones^[4,5]. The documented increase in NAFLD-HCC in our study entails itself a






Figure 2 Comparative analysis regarding hepatocellular carcinoma previous surveillance and Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging at diagnosis between non alcoholic fatty liver disease and other etiologies of liver disease. NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Non-NAFLD: Other than NAFLD (includes all other etiologies).




Figure 3 Comparative survival between non alcoholic fatty liver disease and other etiologies of liver disease. NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; Non-NAFLD: Other than NAFLD (includes all other etiologies).

challenging impact on Public Health worth to be considered in developing countries. HCV infection was the main etiology of HCC throughout the entire observation period, including the last two years, at which time DAA's therapy was available in Argentina (since 2014). Second, as reported in other regions of the world, fatty liver disease was observed as an increasing cause of HCC. The prevalence of DBT was significantly higher in NAFLD-HCC when compared to non-NAFLD patients. Finally, NAFLD-HCC was not associated with a higher mortality rate.

In a recently published multicenter Latin American retrospective cohort study, the main etiologies of HCC were HCV in almost half of the cases, followed by alcoholic liver disease, HBV infection and NAFLD in nine percent^[8]. Another multicenter study from this region including HCC LT patients showed that the most frequent cause of HCC was HBV^[9]. This discrepancies show that the reported HCC etiology largely reflects country-to-country epidemiological differences. Whereas in Brazil the main etiology has been shown to be HBV, in Argentina the main HCC etiology has been associated with HCV followed by alcoholic liver

disease^[9,10,21]. However, no previous studies have evaluated etiologic changes longitudinally.

It is already known that in developed countries, a high-fat diet leads to obesity, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and type 2 DBT. Unbalanced hypercaloric diets, as well as an increasing consumption of sugar containing beverages might lead to a profound Public Health intervention. These socioeconomically changes have been occurring not only in developed but also in developing regions worldwide.

NAFLD has been related to an increasing rate of overall cardiovascular morbid-mortality^[6]. Consequently, NAFLD will become responsible of an increase in medical resource use in the next years that demands specific health prevention programs focusing on diet and exercise in order to avoid not only liver but also cardiovascular disease development. As previously mentioned, a six-fold increase in NAFLD from 2009 to 2015 was observed in our cohort, mainly in LT centers whereas alcoholic liver disease was a leading cause of HCC in non-LT centers. This finding has been observed in Argentina by other authors^[10].

DBT leads to fibrosis progression, cirrhosis and



Table 5 Multivariate cox regression analysis of risk factors associated with 5-year mortality

Variable	HR	95%CI	P value
Age	1.03	1.01; 1.04	< 0.0001
BCLC 0-A	0.50	0.37; 0.68	< 0.0001
Child Pugh B ¹	1.54	1.61; 2.05	0.003
Child Pugh C1	2.59	1.84; 3.66	< 0.0001
AFP > 1000 ng/mL	2.09	1.52; 2.87	< 0.0001
Tumor vascular invasion	2.84	1.75; 3.53	< 0.0001

¹Compared to Child Pugh A, Harrell's concordance statistic was 0.76.

HCC^[6,22,23]. We observed that the increasing prevalence of NAFLD-HCC was not in parallel with the increasing prevalence of DBT over the years. When we included as "potentially NAFLD" those patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis with DBT, a similar 6-fold increase since 2009 to 2015 was observed. Alternatively, we did not considered obese patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis as probable NAFLD as reported by other authors^[24]. In our series, body mass index was not recorded. However, we performed a sub analysis considering cryptogenic/DBT patients and NAFLD. Our epidemiological results are in line with that from developed countries, suggesting that NAFLD would have a major Public Health implication in the upcoming years.

Third, from a comparative and specific intergroup variability, there were no differences between non-NAFLD and NAFLD-HCC patients, except from a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus and lower AFP values in NAFLD-HCC group. Interestingly, higher AFP values were described in other studies in this group of patients in comparison to other etiologies^[22]. However, this significant difference did not impact on patient survival, although AFP > 1000 ng/mL at HCC diagnosis was an independent predictor of worse survival in the multivariate Cox regression analysis. There were no major differences in HCC tumor burden between NAFLD and non-NAFLD-HCC. Heterogeneous data has been published regarding this topic. Some studies described tumor differences whereas others did not^[25,26].

We acknowledge limitations of cohort studies with no control group, in which several factors might be biased. However, a strict revision of the data was centrally requested, investigators who performed the final analysis did not participate in the data collection to avoid differential outcome assessment on exposure and a complete follow-up and outcome assessment was performed in all patients included. Second, the definition of NAFLD was mainly based on clinical assessment. The lack of histological evaluation of NAFLD and NASH in most of the patients might have biased the results. However, this clinical definition is accepted worldwide by international guidelines^[17]. Third, an important information or selection bias regarding the lack of body mass index has been mentioned earlier regarding cryptogenic/obese patients. This might have resulted in a lower number of patients in the group of NAFLD

reported in our study. Finally, combination of different etiologies (*e.g.*, alcoholic liver disease plus chronic HCV) was not considered in order to include the main factor of chronic liver disease.

In conclusion, NAFLD related HCC has been recognized as a growing burden in the United States and in some European regions. This changing etiologic scenario has been observed in in high-income countries and might even be happening in developing ones. In Argentina, even though HCV infection is still the main cause of HCC, recent changing trends in etiologies of HCC in Argentina suggests that NAFLD might be the leading cause of HCC in the next years, becoming an important Public Health issue. However, prospective studies will be necessary to confirm our findings.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The incidence of Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has been increasing during the last years and is the second leading cause of cancer related death worldwide. The cause of HCC is closely linked to the prevalence of chronic hepatitis C (HCV) or B virus (HBV) infections as well as the prevalence of alcoholic liver disease. With the advent of the new direct antiviral drugs (DAAs) for hepatitis C (HCV) treatment, epidemiological changes have been already reported in the natural history of liver disease. One of these epidemiological changes in developed countries is due to the stepwise increase in the incidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), an emergent cause of end stage liver disease and HCC.

Research motivation

Indeed, it is estimated that during the next 20 years, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), the progressive form of NAFLD, will constitute the most frequent cause of cirrhosis and HCC in developed countries. However, reports regarding epidemiological changes of HCC from developing areas, including South America, have been heterogeneous and none have focused on changing trends in etiologies of HCC over time.

Research objectives

Our aim was to evaluate changes in the etiology of HCC in Argentina during the last seven years, particularly focusing on potential changes associated with NAFLD-HCC.

Research methods

This cohort study was conducted between January 1 2009 and January 1 2016 in 14 regional hospitals from Argentina. Criteria for inclusion required patients with newly diagnosed HCC as recommended by international guidelines. Etiologies of HCC included viral hepatitis, alcoholic liver disease (alcohol intake exceeding 30 g/d), NAFLD, cryptogenic cirrhosis (CC), cholestatic liver diseases (*i.e.*, primary biliary cholangitis, primary and secondary sclerosing cholangitis), autoimmune hepatitis and other causes including metabolic diseases or miscellaneous causes (*e.g.*, hereditary hemochromatosis, Wilson disease, toxic liver disease). NAFLD diagnosis was established on histological ground or clinically according to international guidelines. Baseline patient and tumor characteristics at HCC diagnosis were recorded. Tumor burden was classified according to Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging (BCLC criteria). Complete patient follow-up was assessed in all included subjects from HCC diagnosis until death or last medical visit.

Categorical data were compared using Fisher's exact test (2-tailed) or Chi-Square test and continuous variables were compared with Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test according to their distribution, respectively. For survival analysis, Cox regression multivariate analysis estimating hazard ratios (HR) and 95%Cl for baseline variables related with 5-year mortality was performed. Kaplan Meier survival curves were compared using the log-rank test.



Research results

A total of 708 consecutive adult patients with newly diagnosed HCC from 14 centers were included. Out of 14 hospitals, 6 were LT centers, which contributed with the follow-up of 484 patients (68.4% of the study cohort). Noncirrhotic patients accounted for 12.6% of the included cohort (n = 89). The prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DBT) was 27.7%. Between 2009 and 2016, HCV related HCC was the most frequent etiology along the whole observation period. No significant changes were observed in the proportion of HCV-HCC. The second most frequent cause of HCC was alcoholic liver disease, remaining stable along the observation period. On the other hand, a striking 6-fold increase in the proportion of HCC-NAFLD cases was observed since 2009 to 2016 (4.3% vs 25.6%; P < 0.0001). NAFLD was the second cause of HCC in 2015 together with alcoholic liver disease. In addition, when patients with cryptogenic cirrhosis/liver disease and DBT (n = 22/68) were considered together as potentially metabolic syndrome/NAFLD, the NAFLD/Cryptogenic + DBT group represented 14.5% (n = 103) of the entire cohort and increased from 8.6% in 2009 to 16.2% in 2014 and 25.6% in 2016, respectively (P =0.014). There was a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DBT) (61.7% vs non-NAFLD 23.3%; *P* < 0.0001) in the NAFLD group. The increasing proportion of NAFLD in the overall cohort was not in parallel with an increase of DBT in this population. On the other hand, lower median AFP values at HCC diagnosis were observed between NAFLD-HCC and non-NAFLD groups (6.6 ng/mL vs 26 ng/mL; P = 0.02). Neither NAFLD nor other HCC etiologies were associated with higher mortality.

Research conclusions

To the best of our knowledge this is the first multicenter cohort study from a South American country evaluating longitudinal changes in etiologic trends. This changing etiologic scenario documented in developed countries is replicated in developing ones. The documented increase in NAFLD-HCC in our study including a developing country entails in itself a challenging impact on Public Health worth to be considered. HCV infection was the main etiology of HCC throughout the entire observation period, including the last two years, at which time DAA's therapy was available in Argentina. Second, as reported in other regions of the world, fatty liver disease was observed as an increasing cause of HCC. The prevalence of DBT was significantly higher in NAFLD-HCC when compared to non-NAFLD patients. Finally, NAFLD-HCC was not associated with an increasing risk of mortality, adjusted for the presence HCC surveillance and BCLC stage. NAFLD has been related to an increasing rate of overall cardiovascular morbid-mortality. Consequently, NAFLD will become responsible of an increase in medical resource use in the next years that demands specific health prevention programs focusing in diet and exercise in order to avoid not only liver but also cardiovascular disease development. As previously mentioned, a six-fold increase in NAFLD from 2009 to 2015 was observed in our cohort, mainly in LT centers whereas alcoholic liver disease was a leading cause of HCC in non-LT centers.

Research perspectives

NAFLD related HCC has been recognized as a growing burden in the United States and some European regions. This etiologic scenario is not only changing in high-income countries but also it might be happening in developing ones. In Argentina, even though HCV infection is still the main cause of HCC, recent changing trends in etiology of HCC in Argentina suggests that NAFLD might be the leading HCC cause in the next years, becoming an important Public Health issue

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks to the Latin American Liver Research, Education and Awareness Network (LALREAN).

REFERENCES

- Alazawi W, Cunningham M, Dearden J, Foster GR. Systematic review: outcome of compensated cirrhosis due to chronic hepatitis C infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010; 32: 344-355 [PMID: 20497143 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04370.x]
- 2 **Jemal A**, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global

- cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011; **61**: 69-90 [PMID: 21296855 DOI: 10.3322/caac.20107]
- Flemming JA, Kim WR, Brosgart CL, Terrault NA. Reduction in liver transplant wait-listing in the era of direct-acting antiviral therapy. *Hepatology* 2017; 65: 804-812 [PMID: 28012259 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28923]
- 4 Goldberg D, Ditah IC, Saeian K, Lalehzari M, Aronsohn A, Gorospe EC, Charlton M. Changes in the Prevalence of Hepatitis C Virus Infection, Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis, and Alcoholic Liver Disease Among Patients With Cirrhosis or Liver Failure on the Waitlist for Liver Transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 2017; 152: 1090-1099.e1 [PMID: 28088461 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.01.003]
- Piscaglia F, Svegliati-Baroni G, Barchetti A, Pecorelli A, Marinelli S, Tiribelli C, Bellentani S; HCC-NAFLD Italian Study Group. Clinical patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A multicenter prospective study. *Hepatology* 2016; 63: 827-838 [PMID: 26599351 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28368]
- Fassio E, Díaz S, Santa C, Reig ME, Martínez Artola Y, Alves de Mattos A, Míguez C, Galizzi J, Zapata R, Ridruejo E, de Souza FC, Hernández N, Pinchuk L; Multicenter Group for Study of Hepatocarcinoma in Latin America; Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado (ALEH). Etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Latin America: a prospective, multicenter, international study. Ann Hepatol 2010; 9: 63-69 [PMID: 20332549]
- Fassio E, Míguez C, Soria S, Palazzo F, Gadano A, Adrover R, Landeira G, Fernández N, García D, Barbero R, Perelstein G, Ríos B, Isla R, Civetta E, Pérez Ravier R, Barzola S, Curciarello J, Colombato LA, Jmeniltzky A. Etiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in Argentina: results of a multicenter retrospective study. Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam 2009; 39: 47-52 [PMID: 19408739]
- Bebes JD, Chan AJ, Balderramo D, Kikuchi L, Gonzalez Ballerga E, Prieto JE, Tapias M, Idrovo V, Davalos MB, Cairo F, Barreyro FJ, Paredes S, Hernandez N, Avendaño K, Diaz Ferrer J, Yang JD, Carrera E, Garcia JA, Mattos AZ, Hirsch BS, Gonçalves PT, Carrilho FJ, Roberts LR. Hepatocellular carcinoma in South America: Evaluation of risk factors, demographics and therapy. Liver Int 2018; 38: 136-143 [PMID: 28640517 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13502]
- 9 Piñero F, Tisi Baña M, de Ataide EC, Hoyos Duque S, Marciano S, Varón A, Anders M, Zerega A, Menéndez J, Zapata R, Muñoz L, Padilla Machaca M, Soza A, McCormack L, Poniachik J, Podestá LG, Gadano A, Boin IS, Duvoux C, Silva M; Latin American Liver Research, Education and Awareness Network (LALREAN). Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: evaluation of the alpha-fetoprotein model in a multicenter cohort from Latin America. Liver Int 2016; 36: 1657-1667 [PMID: 27169841 DOI: 10.1111/liv.13159]
- 10 Gabrielli M, Vivanco M, Hepp J, Martínez J, Pérez R, Guerra J, Arrese M, Figueroa E, Soza A, Yáñes R, Humeres R, Rios H, Palacios JM, Zapata R, Sanhueza E, Contreras J, Rencoret G, Rossi R, Jarufe N. Liver transplantation results for hepatocellular carcinoma in Chile. *Transplant Proc* 2010; 42: 299-301 [PMID: 20172336 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2009.11.034]
- 11 Costa PE, Vasconcelos JB, Coelho GR, Barros MA, Neto BA, Pinto DS, Júnior JT, Correia FG, Garcia JH. Ten-year experience with liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in a Federal University Hospital in the Northeast of Brazil. *Transplant Proc* 2014; 46: 1794-1798 [PMID: 25131039 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproce ed.2014.05.016]
- 2 Salvalaggio PR, Caicedo JC, de Albuquerque LC, Contreras A, Garcia VD, Felga GE, Maurette RJ, Medina-Pestana JO, Niño-Murcia A, Pacheco-Moreira LF, Rocca J, Rodriguez-Davalos M, Ruf A, Rusca LA, Vilatoba M. Liver transplantation in Latin America: the state-of-the-art and future trends. *Transplantation* 2014; 98: 241-246 [PMID: 25093292 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0000000000000198]
- Piñero F, Marciano S, Anders M, Orozco F, Zerega A, Cabrera CR, Baña MT, Gil O, Andriani O, de Santibañes E, McCormack L, Gadano A, Silva M. Screening for liver cancer during transplant waiting list: a multicenter study from South America. Eur J



- Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 27: 355-360 [PMID: 25563142 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000272]
- Piñero F, Marciano S, Anders M, Orozco Ganem F, Zerega A, Cagliani J, Andriani O, de Santibañes E, Gil O, Podestá LG, McCormack L, Gadano A, Silva M. Identifying patients at higher risk of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after liver transplantation in a multicenter cohort study from Argentina. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016; 28: 421-427 [PMID: 26684693 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.00000000000000551]
- 15 European Association for the Study of the Liver. European Organisation For Research And Treatment Of Cancer. EASL-EORTC clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2012; **56**: 908-943 [PMID: 22424438 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.001]
- Bruix J, Reig M, Sherman M. Evidence-Based Diagnosis, Staging, and Treatment of Patients With Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gastroenterology 2016; 150: 835-853 [PMID: 26795574 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.12.041]
- 17 European Association for the Study of the Liver. European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD); European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2016; 64: 1388-1402 [PMID: 27062661 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.004.]
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, Carbone PP. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Clin Oncol 1982; 5: 649-655 [PMID: 7165009]
- 19 Duvoux C, Roudot-Thoraval F, Decaens T, Pessione F, Badran H, Piardi T, Francoz C, Compagnon P, Vanlemmens C, Dumortier J, Dharancy S, Gugenheim J, Bernard PH, Adam R, Radenne S, Muscari F, Conti F, Hardwigsen J, Pageaux GP, Chazouillères O, Salame E, Hilleret MN, Lebray P, Abergel A, Debette-Gratien M, Kluger MD, Mallat A, Azoulay D, Cherqui D; Liver Transplantation French Study Group. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a model including α-fetoprotein

- improves the performance of Milan criteria. *Gastroenterology* 2012; **143**: 986-994.e3; quiz e14-15 [PMID: 22750200 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.05.052]
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2008; 61: 344-349 [PMID: 18313558 DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008]
- 21 Carrilho FJ, Kikuchi L, Branco F, Goncalves CS, Mattos AA; Brazilian HCC Study Group. Clinical and epidemiological aspects of hepatocellular carcinoma in Brazil. *Clinics* (Sao Paulo) 2010; 65: 1285-1290 [PMID: 21340216]
- Ascha MS, Hanouneh IA, Lopez R, Tamimi TA, Feldstein AF, Zein NN. The incidence and risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2010; 51: 1972-1978 [PMID: 20209604 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23527]
- Hassan MM, Hwang LY, Hatten CJ, Swaim M, Li D, Abbruzzese JL, Beasley P, Patt YZ. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma: synergism of alcohol with viral hepatitis and diabetes mellitus. Hepatology 2002; 36: 1206-1213 [PMID: 12395331 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2002.36780]
- 24 Wong RJ, Cheung R, Ahmed A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the most rapidly growing indication for liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma in the U.S. *Hepatology* 2014; 59: 2188-2195 [PMID: 24375711 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26986]
- 25 Siriwardana RC, Niriella MA, Dassanayake AS, Liyanage C, Gunathilaka B, Jayathunge S, de Silva HJ. Clinical characteristics and outcome of hepatocellular carcinoma in alcohol related and cryptogenic cirrhosis: a prospective study. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2015; 14: 401-405 [PMID: 26256085]
- 26 Perumpail RB, Wong RJ, Ahmed A, Harrison SA. Hepatocellular Carcinoma in the Setting of Non-cirrhotic Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and the Metabolic Syndrome: US Experience. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015; 60: 3142-3148 [PMID: 26250831 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-015-3821-7]

P- Reviewer: Cubero FJ, Qin JM, Zhu X S- Editor: Cui LJ L- Editor: A E- Editor: Li RF





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

http://www.wjgnet.com

